

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/523,780	MATSUOKA, HIROMUNE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Cheryl J. Tyler	3744	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cheryl J. Tyler. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John Robbins. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 24 September 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference

c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sami (6,662,569).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Robbins called regarding the mis-identification of a reference in this application. The reference cited in the Office Action did not correspond to the serial numbers identifying the reference. Ms. Tyler noted that the Sami reference was serial number 6,662,569, and so informed Mr. Robbins. At Mr. Robbins request, enclosed herewith is a supplementary Office Action and PTO-892 including the correct serial number for the reference. The response period will re-start with the mailing of the supplemental Office Action..